ttab

U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #22

	TENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE K TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
A ASSET LEVE TECHNIOLOGIES INC. AND	
MAPLE LEAF TECHNOLOGIES INC. AND TRADEMAPLE LEAF FARMS, INC.,	
TRADEN MAPLE LEAF FARMS, INC.,	)
MAD	)
Opposer,	) Opposition No. 91157889
	) Serial No. 76/456,399
٧.	) Mark: MAPLE LEAF PRIME
	) NATURAL and Design
MAPLE LEAF FOODS INC.,	
With the term 10000 invert	
Amaliana	
Applicant.	)
	03-10-2004

## **RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

Applicant requests that the attached answer to the notice of opposition be accepted.

The notice of opposition was filed at the same time a civil proceeding was initiated by the Opposer against Applicant in U.S. District Court in Indiana. The undersigned was advised by Canadian counsel for Applicant that the firm retained to represent Applicant in the civil litigation was also filing an answer in this opposition proceeding. Until the undersigned received the order to show cause, it was believed that a response to the notice of opposition had been filed.

Additionally, the undersigned has been informed that the Opposer and Applicant entered into a settlement agreement in the civil litigation which also settled this proceeding. Therefore, Applicant expects that if its answer is accepted, the parties will officially resolve this proceeding by filing the appropriate documents to amend the application according to the settlement agreement.

Accordingly, Applicant requests that its late-filed answer be accepted and that a notice of default not be entered against it in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 10, 2004

Maria v. Hardison Attorney for Applicant

Tassan & Hardison 4143 27<sup>th</sup> Street North

Arlington, Virginia 22207-5211

(703) 522-4583 (703) 522-5306 Fax

E-mail: maria@tassan.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the Response to Order to Show Cause was mailed, first-class, postage prepaid, this 10th day of March 2004, to:

Clifford W. Browning, Esq. Woodard Emhardt et al. LLP Bank One Center/Tower 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-5137

Maria v. Hardison

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MAPLE LEAF TECHNOLOGIES INC. AND MAPLE LEAF FARMS, INC.,	)	
Opposer,	) Opposition ) Serial No. 7	No. 91157889 6/456.399
v.	) Mark: MAP	PLE LEAF PRIME URAL and Design
MAPLE LEAF FOODS INC.,	)	I HARIN ARARA HIRAK HARIN BAHAR HARIN BAHAR BAHAR KARIN
Applicant.  ANSWER TO NOT	) FICE OF OPPOSITION	<b>03-10-2004</b> U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Ropt Dt. #22
7.1.10 T. 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.		

COMES NOW Applicant, Maple Leaf Foods Inc., and answers Opposer's correspondingly numbered paragraphs of the Notice of Opposition in the above-noted proceeding.

1. Applicant is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the averments, and, therefore, denies them.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests that Opposer's opposition be dismissed with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 10, 2004

Maria v. Hardison

Attorney for Applicant

Tassan & Hardison

4143 27th Street North

Arlington, Virginia 22207-5211

(703) 522-4583

(703) 522-5306 Fax

E-mail: maria@tassan.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the Answer to Notice of Opposition was mailed, first-class, postage prepaid, this 10th day of March 2004, to:

Clifford W. Browning, Esq. Woodard Emhardt et al. LLP Bank One Center/Tower 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-5137

Maria v. Hardison